Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines Not Met (Females Age 21-65)

Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines Not Met (Females Age 21-65)

•Most recent data (2014): 18% or about 114,400 King County women age 21-65.

•Trend over time: 2000-14: rising

•Description: No Pap test within past three years

•Healthy People 2020 Goal: 7% or lower

Cervical cancer screening guidelines not met (females age 21-65), King County, 2010-2014 average

	Percent	Lower Cl	Upper CI	
King County (females age 21-65)	15	14	17	
AGE	•			
21-24	32	24	42	
25-44	12	10	15	
45-65	15	13	18	
SUBPOPS	-			
GENDER				
Female	15	14	17	
RACE/ETHNICITY	-			
AIAN	*	*	*	
Asian	25	19	32	
Black	12	7	20	
Hispanic	16	11	23	
Multiple	15	8	25	
NHPI	*	*	*	
White	13	12	15	
HOUSEHOLD INCOME				
<\$15,000	26	18	36	
\$15,000 to \$24,999	20	15	28	
\$25,000 to \$34,999	22	16	30	
\$35,000 to \$49,999	12	9	18	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	18	14	24	
\$75,000+	9	7	11	
REGION				
East	15	12	19	
North	13	8	21	
Seattle	16	13	20	
South	16	13	19	
STATE				
Washington State	21	21	22	

Comparisons:

Higher than King County rate (yellow)

Lower than King County rate (green)

Notes:

Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

Prepared by Public Health - Seattle & King County, APDE, 08/2016.

N/A: Not available.


CI is 95% Confidence Interval.

 * Too few cases to protect confidentiality and/or report reliable rates.

§ Too few cases to meet precision standard, interpret with caution.

Persons of Hispanic ethnicity can be of any race and are included in the racial categories

Cervical cancer screening guidelines not met (females age 21-65) King County, 2010-2014 average



Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

Prepared by Public Health - Seattle & King County, APDE, 08/2016.

|-----| Confidence interval shows range that includes true value 95% of the time.

§ Too few cases to meet precision standard, interpret with caution.
Persons of Hispanic ethnicity can be of any race and are included in the racial categories.

Too few cases to protect confidentiality and/or report reliable rates.

Cervical cancer screening guidelines not met (females age 21-65) by health reporting areas, King County, 2010-2014 average

	Percent	Lower Cl	Upper CI
King County (females age 21-65)	15	14	17
Aubum	15 §	8 §	27 §
Auburn-North	*	*	*
Auburn-South	*	*	*
Bear Creek/Carnation/Duvall	9	5	16
Bellevue	16	10	24
Bellevue-Central	15 §	6 §	32 §
Bellevue-NE	12 §	4 §	31 §
Bellevue-South	22 §	11 §	39 \$
Bellevue-West	13 §	5 §	31 §
			21 §
Black Diamond/Enumclaw/SE County	12 \$	6 §	
Bothell/Woodinville	13 §	5 \$	27 §
Burien	19	11	32
Covington/Maple Valley	8 §	4 §	16 §
Des Moines/Normandy Park	13 §	6 §	27 §
East Federal Way	17 §	8 §	35 §
Fairwood	*	*	*
Federal Way	20	11	33
Fed Way-Central/Military Rd	24	13	41
Fed Way-Dash Point/Woodmont	*	*	*
Issaquah	28 §	9 §	59 §
Kenmore/LFP	17 §	7 §	36 §
Kent	24	16	34
Kent-East	*	*	*
Kent-SE	22	13	36
Kent-West	*	*	*
Kirkland	12	7	21
Kirkland	15 [§]	7 §	31 [§]
Kirkland North	9 §	4 §	19 [§]
Mercer Isle/Pt Cities	12 §	5 §	26 §
Newcastle/Four Creeks	19 §	8 §	38 §
North Highline	*	*	*
Redmond	14 §	7 §	27 §
Renton	9	5	14
Renton-East	6 §	3 §	14 §
Renton-North	11 §	5 §	25 §
Renton-South	9 §	4 §	19 §
Sammamish	18 §	7 §	39 §
SeaTac/Tukwila	26	15	40
Seattle	16	13	20
Ballard	14	8	24
Beacon/Gtown/S.Park	28 §	14 §	49 §
Capitol Hill/E.lake	19 §	9 §	36 §
Central Seattle	13 §	5 §	29 §
Delridge	*	*	*
Downtown	16 §	7 \$	33 §
Fremont/Greenlake	17 §	8 \$	33 §
NE Seattle	20 §	9 §	38 §
North Seattle		16 5 8	49
NW Seattle	10 §	5 §	21 §
QA/Magnolia	8 8	3 §	20 §
SE Seattle	10 §	5 §	19 §
West Seattle	12 §	7 \$	22 §
Shoreline	11 §	5 §	24 §
Snoqualmie/North Bend/Skykomish	15 §	8 §	26 §
Vashon Island	*	*	*

Comparisons:

Higher than King County rate (yellow)

Lower than King County rate (green)

Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

Prepared by Public Health - Seattle & King County, APDE, 08/2016.


CI is 95% Confidence Interval.

Persons of Hispanic ethnicity can be of any race and are included in the racial categories.

^{*} Too few cases to protect confidentiality and/or report reliable rates.

[§] Too few cases to meet precision standard, interpret with caution.


Cervical cancer screening guidelines not met (females age 21-65) by health reporting areas, King County, 2010-2014 average



Note: HRA labels on the map match the chart on the right, listed in alphabetical order Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

Prepared by Public Health - Seattle & King County, APDE, 08/2016.

Cervical cancer screening guidelines not met (females age 21-65) King County, 2000-2014, three-year rolling averages



Trends over time: King County (2000-14: rising); East KC (2000-14: rising); North KC (2000-14: flat); Seattle (2000-14: rising); South KC (2000-14: rising); Washington State (2000-14: rising)
Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.
Prepared by Public Health - Seattle & King County, APDE, 08/2016.

Produced by the <u>Assessment, Policy Development & Evaluation Unit</u> <u>Public Health - Seattle & King County</u> 08/16

